

eight of Buildir		zviz Amena	ment No. 8 (Overshad		
Proposal Title :	Waverley Local I Height of Buildir		Plan 2012 Amendment No	. 8 (Overshadowir	ng Clause and
Proposal Summ	in Bondi Junctio	n to allow deve Spring St opp	roposal is to amend Claus elopment along the northe osite Norman Lee Place, w	rn side of Oxford	Street Mall and the
PP Number :	PP_2014_WAVE	R_005_00	Dop File No :	0/0000	
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	27-Nov-2014 red 1		LGA covered :	Waverley	
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	Waverley Co	ouncil
State Electorate	COOGEE VAUCLUSE		Section of the Act	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy				
Location Detail Street : Suburb :	s	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel :	Area bounded by Oxfo	ord Street Mall,	Grosvenor Street, Grosve	nor Lane and Nev	vland Street
Street :	16 Spring Street				
Suburb :	Bondi Junction	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2022
Land Parcel :	Lot 3 DP 975587				
Street :	18 Spring Street				
Suburb :	Bondi Junction	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2022
Land Parcel :	Lot 4 DP 975587				
Street : Suburb :	20 Spring Street Bondi Junction	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2022
Land Parcel :	SP 31260	Oity .	Sydney	r ostoode .	
Street :	87 Oxford Street				
Suburb :	Bondi Junction	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2022
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 975587				
Street :	89 Oxford Street				
Suburb :	Bondi Junction	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2022
		-			

Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 Amendment No. 8 (Overshadowing Clause and Height of Buildings)

Height of Buildings)				
DoP Planning Office	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Wayne Williamson			
Contact Number :	0285754121			
Contact Email :	wayne.williamson@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Detail	S			
Contact Name :	Alex Beers			
Contact Number :	0293698000			
Contact Email :	alex.beers@waverley.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes			
Have there been	No			
meetings or communications with				
registered lobbyists?				
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	One of the recommendations of the Bondi Junction Urban Design Review (2013) was the introduction of a local provision to protect solar access for public parks and plazas in Bondi Junction. The report did not intend for the clause to place significant constraints on land surrounding these public places. However, sites to the north of Oxford Street Mall have been constrained to the point that any development above the current built form is unachievable, while sites to the north of Norman Lee Place are constrained to less than half the height shown in the Height of Buildings map.			
	Council requests the local provision to protect solar access be amended to enable development in line with the Waverley LEP Height of Buildings maps. The following			

Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 Amendment No. 8 (Overshadowing Clause and
Height of Buildings)

provides details of the 3 sites where Council is seeking to amend the planning controls:

Oxford Street Mall

The inclusion of Oxford Street Mall in the local provision to protect solar access unreasonable restricts land to the north. Solar access to the Mall has already been addressed by the Waverley LEP 2012 building heights, which step back on its northern side to create a height plane. These heights are designed to retain direct solar access to the southern shop-fronts of the Mall in midwinter.

Rowe Street

The section of Rowe Street between Oxford Street Mall and Grosvenor Lane is currently a pedestrian ramp that provides access to the Bondi Junction Transport Interchange and currently has a height limit of 32 metres. As a result of the local provision to protect solar access, no additional height can be achieved as any development proposed would overshadow the area. This is an unintended sterilization of this site's development potential. The height limits on Rowe Street do not include a height plane that retains solar access to Oxford Street Mall. Therefore, the Height of Buildings map should be amended so that development is stepped back to maintain solar access for the Mall. The building height will be amended to range from 12.5 metres at the front of the site up to the current 32 metres at the rear of the site.

Norman Lee Place

The height limit is 38 metres and the Floor Space Ratio is 5:1, however, these sites can currently only achieve approximately 3 storeys at their southern frontage to Spring St and some additional height closer to the sites' northern boundaries. Council suggests amending the local provision to allow buildings up to LEP height limit. The Height of Buildings map will also be amended for these sites as the heights have not been planned with regard to maintaining solar access.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The main objective of the proposal is to amend Clause 6.7 - Solar access to public places in Bondi Junction, which currently imposes onerous development constraints for properties along the northern side of Oxford Street Mall and the northern side of Spring St opposite Norman Lee Place in Bondi Junction. The proposal also seeks to amend the applicable Height of Buildings to be consistent with the amendment to Clause 6.7. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : Currently, clause 6.7 restricts development that would cause overshadowing in addition to the amount of shadow cast by the existing building(s). The amendment seeks to prohibit overshadowing additional to the current height on the height of buildings map, by making the following amendments: Remove 3 sites from subclause (2) of clause 6.7: Oxford Street Mall (between Bronte Road and Newland Street); • Rowe Street (between Oxford Street Mall and Grosvenor Lane); and Norman Lee Place (Boot Factory) Insert a new sub-clause (4) for the above sites, which specifies that development on these sites is prohibited if the development results in any part of a building projecting above the height specified on the height of buildings map.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director G	eneral's agreement	 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director General	's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 : Yes
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 22—Shops and Commercial Premises SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) bein	ng adequately justified? No
If No, explain :	The proposal is not	considered to be inconsistent with any section 117 Direction.
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Yes	;	
Comment :	Maps provided are o	considered satisfactory.
Community consultat	ion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultati	on been proposed? Yes	5
Comment :		hat an exhibition period of 14 days would be appropriate given the ure of the amendment and the limited number of properties affected.
Additional Director Ge	eneral's requiremer	nts
Are there any additional D If Yes, reasons :	irector General's require	ements? No
Overall adequacy of t	ne proposal	
Does the proposal meet the lf No, comment :	ne adequacy criteria? Yo	es

Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 Amendment No. 8 (Overshadowing Clause and Height of Buildings)

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in	Waverley LEP 2012 was notified in December 2012.
relation to Principal	
LEP :	

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	Clause 6.7 – Solar access to public places in Bondi Junction is the result of previous amendments to the Waverley LEP 2012 recommended by the Bondi Junction Urban Design Review Report (2013). The report was commissioned jointly by Council and the then Department of Planning and Infrastructure under the LEP acceleration fund to address concerns about the built form and urban design outcomes for Bondi Junction. The sterilization of land in Bondi Junction was not intended by the report. The best way to correct the situation is through a planning proposal and subsequent LEP amendment.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	This proposal seeks to remove constraints to development in Bondi Junction, which will allow the centre to continue to grow and reinforce its role as a Major Centre. The continued development of Bondi Junction makes a significant contribution to dwelling and employment targets for Waverley and the broader East Subregion contained in the East Subregion Draft Subregional Strategy and the Sydney Metropolitan Strategy.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The land which is subject to this Planning Proposal does not include any land which contains critical habitat or threatened species populations or ecological communities or their habitats.
	Notwithstanding possible minor reduction in solar access to Norman Lee Place and Oxford St Mall at the specified time in the clause, there are no other likely environmental effects as a result of this planning proposal.
	The proposal will encourage improved access to the Bondi Junction Transport Interchange which will provide significant social and economic benefits by providing

commercial premises on Rowe Street.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				

better access to the Mall, improved amenity for the public, and new retail premises and

Waverley Local Environmental Plan 2012 Amendment No. 8 (Overshadowing Clause and Height of Buildings)

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required,

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public	
Covering Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Planning Proposal - Clause 6-7.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Planning Proposal Attachments.pdf	Proposal	Yes	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions		
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036		

Additional Information : It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:

1. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 14 days,

2. Consultation is required with Transport for NSW,

3. A public hearing is not required, and

~

V. Williamson

4. The planning proposal to be finalised within 12 months from a week following the date of the gateway determination.

0

 The planning proposal is supported because it will encourage reasonable development on sites which are considered strategically important to the role of Bondi Junction as a
major centre.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Date: